

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/842,186	04/23/97	CAMPBELL	.,	1/321-0000
,				
		E1M1/0123 -	7	EXAMINER
CESART AND	MCKENNA			RY,M

CESARI AND MCKENNA 30 ROWES WHARF BOSTON MA 02110

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

01/23/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/842,186

Applicant(s)

Campbell

Examiner

Michael Sherry

Group Art Unit 2104



7 - 4		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 23, 1997	•	
This action is FINAL .		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1	935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is session services to the section of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extend of the communication of the communication is set to the communication of the communication of the communication is set to the communication of	et to expire <u>three</u> month(s), or thirty days, whichever ure to respond within the period for response will cause the ensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of	
Disposition of Claims		
	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s)		
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave The drawing(s) filed on Apr 23, 1997 is/are ob The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	ojected to by the Examiner. is □approved □disapproved.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority and the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial received in this national stage application from *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority.	Number) the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
Attachment(s)		
 ☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	or Note). (IPAGE) 0-948(SUBSTITUTE)	
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Serial Number: 08/842,186 Page 2

Art Unit: 2104

1. Figure 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

- 2. The drawings are objected to because figures 4,5 contain symbols with unclear meaning. Descriptive labels are required for elements 44,48,52. Correction is required.
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains legal phraseology and repeats claim language. See supra. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. A substitute specification is required because the specification as filed has poor print quality and, as a result, is difficult to read. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied

Serial Number: 08/842,186 Page 3

Art Unit: 2104

by a statement that it contains no new matter. Such statement must be a verified statement if made by a person not registered to practice before the Office.

- 6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "50". Correction is required.
- 7. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

The "actuating means" of claims 8,10 is not in the specification.

8. Claims 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8, on line 15, "said current switching means" lacks antecedent basis.

9. Claims 1-7 are allowed.

Serial Number: 08/842,186 Page 4

Art Unit: 2104

10. Claims 8-17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action.

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Parker et al. (US 4,580,186)
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 1,8 recite, inter alia, a fault current-limiting circuit in combination with a polyphase circuit comprising a current limiting device having first and second states wherein current passes through the device in the first state and wherein current does not pass through the device in the second state, wherein the device switches from the first state to the second state when current through the device exceeds a predetermined maximum. The art of record does not disclose the above-mentioned limitation, nor would it be obvious to modify the art of record so as to include it.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Page 5 Serial Number: 08/842,186

Art Unit: 2104

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Sherry whose telephone number is (703) 308-1680.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached on (703) 308-3301. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3431.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [jeffrey.gaffin@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.